Although free speech is a cornerstone of our society, some corporations use that as a shield to protect themselves while blatently pandering to their own best interests.

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation. Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. When large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy.
Sinclair's actions
show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules.

I can see no
"innocent" reason
that a broadcasting
company would so
clearly choose to
display an obvious
slant to the
American people, and
no other slant,
unless they have a
stake in what the
public comes away
thinking.

Thank You.